

भारत सरकार  
खान मंत्रालय  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो  
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय

स्पीड पोस्ट

संख्या : 614(2)/एमपी-ए-266/15-डीडीएन.

100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी,  
देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड),  
दिनांक : 26.07.2016

सेवा में : श्री करमवीर पाल सिंह,  
मै0 अगिया राम एण्ड सन्स,  
गांव हीरपुर, तहसील-पांशटा साहिब,  
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

विषय : श्री करमवीर पाल सिंह (मै0 अगिया राम एण्ड सन्स) की जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) स्थित भरली  
ड्रिनाप्टथर खान के बारे हुए हुए अंश की ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन)।

सन्दर्भ : आपका पत्र संख्या- शून्य दि0 16.06.2016

महोदय,

उपरोक्त ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) इस कार्यालय में दो प्रतियों में दि. 16.06.2016 को प्राप्त हुई है। ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) के अवलोकन के पश्चात पाई गई कमियों का ब्यौरा अनुलग्नक में दिया गया है।

अतः आपको सलाह दी जाती है कि आप अनुलग्नक में दर्शाई गई सभी कमियों का दूर कर खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) तीन प्रतियों में इस कार्यालय में पत्र के जारी होने के 07 दिन के अन्दर प्रस्तुत करें ताकि आपने की कार्यवाही की जा सके। निर्धारित 07 दिन में खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) सभी कमियों को दूर करते हुए प्रस्तुत नहीं करने की स्थिति में इस पर अन्तिम अग्रिम कार्यवाही कर दी जायेगी। ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) जो इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न कर आपको भेजी जा रही है उसे भी फाइनल खनन योजना (रिब्यू एण्ड अपडेशन) की प्रतियों के साथ प्रस्तुत करें।

संलग्न : एक प्रति.

भवदीय

(के0 एस0 यादव)  
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ-

1. खान नियंत्रक (उ0), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, अजमेर।
2. श्री पंकज पाण्डे, बी-1/21 सेक्टर-बी अलीगंज लखनऊ-226 024 इस पत्र के साथ ड्राफ्ट संशोधित खनन योजना का एक प्रति भी संलग्न की जा रही है। (स्पीड पोस्ट)

C:\Users\BVM\Desktop\Mukesh Hibshi Ex. Sci. MP. Bhatti. Kumari. Pal Singh KSY 1.doc

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

PLC  
23/7/16

**Scrutiny comments in respect of Review and updation of Mining Plan of Bharli Limestone Mine of M/s Agai Ram & Sons(Shri Karamveerpal Singh) (4.496 Hectares) in District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh State submitted under rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 and 23(B) of MCDR 1988.**

1. On page 1 under chapter Introduction the rule is wrongly quoted.
2. On page 6 again the mining plan submitted under rule 22 is wrong. It is a very silly mistake.
3. On page 6 it is mentioned that mine is discontinued but the reason for discontinuation is not given.
4. **Authentic lease plan verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars should be enclosed. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.**
5. Chemical analysis report of limestone is totally wrong. It is indicated in the report that MgCO is 61.39 and 63.05 respectively for two samples. It needs clarification. The location of samples collected has also not been mentioned. It shows that entire document has been prepared casually.
6. The North direction on the Khasra Plan is not indicated.
7. Justification for lapse period 205-16 has not been mentioned.
8. Geological sections drawn are not matching with the justification given on page 13 and 14 for reserve estimation under 111 and 122 category.
9. It is clarified that portion of the measured mineral resources (331) falling within mineable part is to be categorized under 111 category. Similarly part of indicated mineral resource falling in mineable category is to be under probable category (121 & 122). Remaining part of 331 & 332 falling non mineable portion of the area is to be categorized under 221(Feasibility mineral resource) and 222(pre feasibility mineral resource).
10. Mining chapter is incomplete. Bench wise year wise excavation has not been indicated. It shows careless approach for preparation of mining plan.
11. Benches proposed to be opened have not been discussed. Similarly it is proposed that during the first year production shall be achieved through making haul roads. Nothing has been mentioned about the dimension of this haul road. Separate yearwise sections have not been given.
12. Post mining land use given on page 24,31 and 33 are not matching with each other.
13. Nothing is mentioned about the dimension of the benches and benchwise excavation. Similarly nothing is mentioned about face advancement etc.
14. No proposal has been given for systematization of unsafe working as shown in section line 3-3' and 2-2'.
15. Under mining chapter there is no discussion about drilling& blasting and extent of mechanization and type of explosives to be used.
16. Under mine drainage the position of perennial spring is to be rechecked.
17. The NOC given by HP PWD Department by following conditions:

- The mining activities should be at least 60m away from the edge of the PWD road.
- The debris waste material and boulder should not come on PWD road.
- The road side drainage system should not be disturbed in any manner
- The rain water of mining activities area should not come on the road surface.

*Therefore, it is pertinent to make a specific commitment in the mining plan to follow NOC given by HP PWD department. Timeframe should be given for carrying out above activities.*

18. Rule under which mining plan has been submitted requires to be changed in the enclosed feasibility report also.
19. All the annexure should be attested by RQP for their authenticity.
20. Certificate of legal heir has not been enclosed.
21. Certificate of registration of firm has not been enclosed.
22. There are several typographical mistakes, which require to be corrected.
23. Copy of NOC of PWD HP department, Joint Inspection report of State Govt has not been enclosed.
24. A CD covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. An undertaking in this regards by the RQP that CD contains same documents & plates as submitted by hard copy of document & text should be given.

#### **Plates**

25. Precise superimposition of authentic lease plan is to be carried out before preparation of all the plans.
26. Plans and sections have not been prepared as per rule 27 & 28 of MCDR 1988.

#### **Plate No.1 (Location Plan)**

27. Location of lease has not been marked.
28. Date of preparation of plate has not been marked.

#### **Plate No.2 (Key Plan)**

29. Key plan is not clearly visible.

**Plate No. 3 (Surface & Geological Plan)-** This has not prepared as per MCDR 1988. It is not properly indexed.